

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.376 of 2020

=====

Mahendra Singh, (Male) aged about 62 years, Son of Late Kankad Singh,
resident of village- Rampur, P.S. Surajgarha, District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Home (Police),
Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police Bihar, Patna.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Lakhisarai.
4. The District Provident Fund Officer, Lakhisarai.
5. The State of Jharkhand. Jharkhand.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ravi Verma, AC to GP 4

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-01-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AC
to GP 4 for the State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to
make correction in the date of superannuation of the petitioner.

Let the same be done during the course of the day.

3. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following
reliefs:

*“i) For issuance of an appropriate writ
in the nature of Mandamus commanding and
directing the respondents authorities to make
payment of interest on delayed payment of General
Provident Fund amount to the petitioner, who*



retired as Head Constable (Havildar) on 31.12.2017, the G.P.F. amount was paid to the petitioner in December, 2019 whereas it should be paid to him on 01.12.2019 after one year.

ii) Further for issuance of an appropriate writ for a direction to the respondent to make available details of deduction with effect from 1983 to December, 1986 and pay general provident fund amount of that period.

Iii) For any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner is found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is restricting the prayer for payment of interest from 01.01.2018 till November, 2019, as payment has been made in December, 2019.

5. Learned counsel for the State submitted that the petitioner may represent before the District Provident Fund Officer, Lakhisarai to look into the matter.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the same.

7. Having regard to the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file detailed representation in the matter before the respondent no. 4. If the same is done within three weeks from today, the respondent no. 4 shall look into the matter and if it is found that the petitioner has applied within the specified period and still payment has been made after almost two years, the same is required to be paid with up-to-date interest, and accordingly, necessary order shall be passed. The same be done within three weeks from the date of



filing of the representation by the petitioner. If it is held in favour of the petitioner, the arrears of interest for the period unpaid shall be paid to him within three weeks from the date of passing of the order.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

