

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8612 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2019 Thana- PIPRAHI District- Sheohar

1. RAJEEV KUMAR Son of Late Ramjinish Ray Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.
2. Satish Jha Son of Late Newalal Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.
3. Md. Wahid Son of Md. Isha Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.
4. Ramdayal Paswan Son of Doman Paswan Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.
5. Pramendra Kumar @ Pramendra Ray @ Ramendra Ray Son of Sogarath Ray Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.
6. Santosh Rai @ Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Ray Son of Daroga Ray Resident of Village - Amba Ojha Tola, P.S.- Piprahi, Distt - Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pushpendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

3 25-06-2020 The present petition has been taken up for consideration through the mode of Video Conferencing in view of the prevailing situation on account of COVID-19 Pandemic.

At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner no. 3 has been arrested during the pendency of the present petition, hence the present petition *qua* the petitioner no. 3 is not being pressed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the



learned A.P.P. for the State.

This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Piprahi PS case no. 180 of 2019 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 353, 384, 506/34 of Indian Penal Code.

The accusation is regarding the accused persons conspiring together and demanding extortion money, illegally from the contractor.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent, have been falsely implicated in the present and are having clean antecedent. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case on account of village politics and rivalry.

The learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into account the fact that the petitioners are having clean antecedent, the abovenamed petitioners no. 1, 2 and 4 to 6, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of



receipt/ production of a copy of this order, are directed to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st class, Sheohar in connection with Piprahi PS case no. 180 of 2019 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

