

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1475 of 2020

=====

Rubi Devi (Female), Aged about 32 years, Wife of Dharmendra Kumar,
Resident of Village- Sirnawan, Police Station- Wena Chandi, District-
Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Nalanda, District- Nalanda.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Nalanda.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Nalanda District-Nalanda.
6. The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Sirnama Block Chandi District- Nalanda.
7. Santosh Kumar, Son of Late Iswar Singh, resident of village- Sirnawan, PS Chandi District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rabindra Prasad Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Kumar Alok, SC 7
		Mr. Rakesh Kumar, AC to SC 7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 29-01-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned SC

7 for the State.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the following
reliefs:

*“I. That this writ petition is being filed
on behalf of the petitioner for issuance of an
appropriate order/orders/direction/ directions/ writ
in the nature of Certiorari to Set aside the result of
Secretary of Gram Panchayat Raj Sirnawan dated
5.7.2019 by which the Respondent No. 7 has been
elected as Secretary of Gram Panchayat Raj*



Sirnawan Block Chandi District- Nalanda for payment ad Managing committee without any notification of Gram Sabha and without information to the Ward Member of Gram Panchayat Raj Sirnawan Block Chandi District-Nalanda.

II) Any further for issuance of a order/orders direction/directions/writ in the nature of Mandamus directing and commanding the respondents to allow the petitioner as ward member of the Ward No. 7 and other ward of the said Panchayat for welfare the Gram Panchayat Raj Sirnawan Block Chandi District- Nalanda.

III) Any further be pleased to grant other relief/reliefs as the petitioner is entitled for.”

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties for sometime, the Court finds that both the pleadings and the materials on record are thoroughly inadequate for proper consideration by the Court.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh writ petition with clear pleadings and all relevant materials on the issue.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

