

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1922 of 2020

=====

Bibha Devi, aged about 37 years, Female, Wife of Pramod Kumar Singh,
Resident of Village-Dhauandhua, P.S. Arrah Muffasil, District-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principle Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Bhojpur at Arrah.
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner (D.D.C.), Bhojpur at Arrah.
5. The District Panchayat Raj Officer, Bhojpur at Arrah.
6. The Block Development Officer, Sadar, Bhojpur at Arrah.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Md. Ataul Haque, Adv.
For the Respondents : Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi, AAG-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 28-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

This application has been preferred praying inter alia
for the following relief/s:

“For issuance of an appropriate writ, order
or direction for enquiry from any independent agency
regarding “looking into rampant irregularities in
construction of the Houses (under the scheme of Prime
Minister Awas Yojna) of Gram Panchayat, Daulatpur



in the District of Bhojpur as the petitioner earlier send representation to the Hon'ble Prime Minister, Government of India, New Delhi on 25.07.2019 and a copy of the same was also sent to the District Collector, Bhojpur at Arrah and District Development Commissioner (D.D.C.) Bhojpur at Arrah but till today no action was taken on the part of the Respondent no.3 & 4 for that reason present writ application in the nature of P.I.L. (Public Interest Litigation) is hereby being filed for the same.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the respondent-authorities not only to consider the petitioner's request which is already pending, but also those which he shall be making within next four weeks.

As such, as prayed for, petition is disposed of on the following mutually agreed terms:

(A) As and when any request of the petitioner is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered expeditiously and preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

(B) Insofar as representations already made are concerned, we are of the considered view that they are vague



and unspecific, lacking material particulars. As such, no purpose would be served by issuing direction for disposal thereof which fact learned counsel is in agreement.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

