

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1840 of 2020

=====

Krishna Deo Prasad Yadav Son of Late Prayag Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village-Pranpur, P.S.-Nawada Muffasil, District-Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Education Officer, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 934 of 2020

=====

Jai Kant Singh son of Late Prasidh Narayan Singh, resident of Village-Kochgaon, P.s.- Warsaliganj, District- Nawada, at present Assistant Teacher, Project Bandi Shankar Project Girls High School Warsaliganj, Nawada.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The District Education Officer, Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1840 of 2020)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Sagar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Smt. Binita Singh (Sc28)

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 934 of 2020)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ram Sagar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Madanjeet Kumar (Gp20)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY



ORAL ORDER

3 18-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are aggrieved by the decision of the respondents vide orders dated 7.5.2010 and 21.7.2008, as contained in Annexures 1 and 2 to both the writ petitions, whereby services of the petitioners have not been approved.

Learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance on the judgment dated 18.05.2006 in WP(S) No. 5412 of 2005 rendered by a learned Single Judge of Jharkhand High Court which was approved by the Division Bench in LPA No. 400 of 2006 vide order dated 24.11.2006 and also by the Apex Court vide order dated 15.5.2007 in SLP (Civil) No. 4396 of 2007, Annexures 5,6 and 7 to the writ petition.

Without going into the merit of the case, the writ petitions are disposed of with the direction to the respondents to take appropriate decision keeping in view the orders as contained in Annexures 5,6 and 7. Necessary decision in this regard must be taken by the respondents at the earliest preferably within a maximum period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

The orders dated 7.5.2010 and 21.7.2008, as contained in Annexures 1 and 2 of both the writ petitions, shall not come in the way of the respondents in consideration of the



claim of the petitioners.

With the aforesaid, both the writ petitions stand disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

