

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85100 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-884 Year-2018 Thana- ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
Rohtas

=====

Manoj Ram, aged about 32 years, Male, Son of Prahlad Ram, Resident of
Village Pachpokhari, P.S. Baghaila, District Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Urmila Devi, aged about 29 years, Female, Wife of Manoj Ram, D/o Kuvar
Ram, At present R/o Village Chintamanpur, Post Ghodad, P.S. Sasaram
(Mu.), District Rohtas.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kumar Sunil

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Pushpa Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 26-06-2020 Heard both sides through video conferencing.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Complaint Case
No.884 of 2018 for the offences allegedly committed by the
petitioner under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code and under
Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
marriage of the petitioner with the complainant was solemnized in
the year 2007. On account of some petty family dispute, the
complainant filed the case making false and frivolous allegation.
The petitioner is still ready to keep his wife.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the
wife is also ready to live with the husband provided her husband



keeps her properly.

Taking into consideration the facts that the husband and the wife are willing to restore their conjugal relation after sinking their differences, the petitioner is directed to surrender in the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order and on such the learned court below shall enlarge the petitioner on provisional bail for six months on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Rohtas at Sasaram in connection with Complaint Case No.884 of 2018, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

Thereafter the learned court below shall make efforts for resolution of the dispute between the husband and the wife. If the dispute between the husband and the wife is resolved amicably, the learned court below shall confirm the provisional bail granted to the petitioner. If the dispute between the husband and the wife is not amicably resolved, the learned court below shall pass order in accordance with law on the provisional bail of the petitioner immediately after lapse of six months.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

