

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1251 of 2020

Sanjay Kumar, aged about 36 years, Son of Late Ram Chandra Prasad
Resident of Bara Pathal Gali No.14, P.O. and P.S.- Dehri-on-Sone, District-
Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The South Bihar Power Holding Company Limited the General Manager,
Vidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna.
2. The General Manager, South Bihar Power Holding Company Limited,
Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
3. The Senior Manager (Personnel), Magadh Electricity Supply Division,
Gaya.
4. The Superintending Executive Engineer, Electricity Supply Division, Dehri.
5. The Electrical Executive Engineer, Electricity Supply Division, Dehri.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kaushal Kumar Jha with Mr. Amish Kumar and Mr. Ritesh Pradhan, Advocates
For the Respondent/s	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-01-2020

Heard Mr. Kaushal Kumar Jha, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Dr. Anand Kumar, learned counsel for the
respondents.

2. The petitioner has moved the Court for the
following reliefs:

*“(i) For issuance of a writ in the nature of
Mandamus directing and commanding upon the
respondent authorities to grant admissible Family
Pension to the Petitioner in terms of Finance
Department Letter No.9505 dated 03/10/1964.*

*(ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of
Certiorari, quashing the letter bearing Memo
No.614 dated 26/10/18, whereby and whereunder, it
has been stated that no decision with regards to*



Family Pension for adopted son can be taken up in terms of Finance Department Letter No.9505 dated 03/10/1964 in as much as same is absolutely erroneous.

(iii) To any other relief or relief which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the case;

(iv) Cost of this litigation.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has filed a representation before the authority on 03.10.2019, which is still pending.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the Court may direct for its disposal.

5. Having considered the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed off with a direction to the authority concerned to dispose off the representation filed by the petitioner, copy of which has been annexed in the writ application, if already not disposed off, expeditiously and latest within a period of two months from the date of production of a copy of this order before him.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

