

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1022 of 2020

=====

Kundan Kumar, son of Devendra Kumar Singh, resident of village- mahatma Gandhi Nagar, P.O. - T.V. Tower, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Land Records and Measurement Directorate, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Special Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director, Science and Technology, State of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sajid Salim Khan (Sc25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 27-02-2020

It is the petitioner's claim that he belongs to Economically Weaker Section (E.W.S.) category. He is an applicant for the post of Amin pursuant to Advertisement No. 2 to 2019, issued by the Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar. It is an admitted fact that while submitting



his application *on-line*, he did not claim his candidature as a candidate belonging to E.W.S. category.

It transpires that subsequent to filing of the application, *on-line*, a notice was issued on 27.05.2019, inviting objections from the applicants in respect of the entries made in their applications. The petitioner filed an objection to the effect that though he belonged to 'E.W.S. category', he had wrongly mentioned 'UR category' in his *on-line* application. The petitioner's objection came to be turned down, mainly on the ground that the data, given at the time of filing of the application, cannot be allowed to be altered after final submission of application. The said decision of the respondents, rejecting petitioner's objection, has been assailed in the present writ application, which has been filed more than six months of rejection of the objections.

Be that as it may, the petitioner doesn't dispute that he had not claimed his candidature under E.W.S. category at the time of filing of the application. I do not find any illegality in the impugned decision of the respondents in rejecting the petitioner's objection, whereby, considering the nature of objection, the respondents refused to allow the petitioner to change the data, which he himself had fed in his *on-line* application.



I do not find any merit in this application. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

AKASH/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.03.2020
Transmission Date	

