

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84128 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-165 Year-2019 Thana- PIPRA District- Supaul

SATYADEO YADAV Son of Moti Yadav Resident of Village - Jarauli, P.O.-
Ratauli, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramchandra Singh Mr. Suresh Kumar Mr. Arbind Kumar, Advocates
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. J.K. Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 17-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 14.07.2019 in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 165 of 2019 for the alleged offences under Sections 302, 201, 120(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated on mere suspicion except which there is no objective material to connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. No specific overt act has been attributed to the petitioner, who happens to be the father-in-law of the deceased. It is submitted that the body of the deceased was not found in the petitioner's house, rather at a distance. It is submitted that the deceased had come to the petitioner's house to attend a marriage ceremony after which he had left for his home in the afternoon, a day prior to the occurrence. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP assisted by learned counsel for the informant appears and has been heard. Learned APP has not



pointed to any objective material in the case diary to connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence.

5. Be that as it may, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM, Supaul in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 165 of 2019 on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

