

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.23839 of 2018

=====

Ravi Shankar Son of Sri Satya Narayan Prasad Resident of Gur Ki Mandi,
Bagh Bhup Singh Lane, P.O.- Guljarbagh, P.S.- Alamganj, Patna- 800007

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Power (Holding) Co. Ltd. and Ors through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 800021
2. Bihar State Power Transmission Co. Ltd.- through its Managing Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 800021
3. General Manager (HR and Adm), Bihar State Power Transmission Co. Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 800021
4. Sri Abdur Rahman, Deputy General Manager (HR and Adm), Bihar State Power Transmission Co. Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna- 800021
5. Sri A.S. Azam Khan, Electrical Superintending Engineer-cum-Enquiry Officer, Transmission Co. Ltd., P.O. and P.S.- Muzaffarpur, District-Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Neeraj Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 15-06-2020 Heard Mr. Abhimanyu Vats, the learned counsel for

the petitioner, and Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, the learned counsel

for the Bihar State Power Transmission Co. Ltd.

The matter has been taken up through video
conferencing.

The petitioner in this writ petition challenged the
order of initiation of departmental proceeding against him and
putting him under suspension but during the pendency of this
writ petition the departmental proceeding has already been



concluded with minor punishment of stoppage of one increment with non cumulative effect and warning.

Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha, the learned counsel for the respondents, submits that counter affidavit has already been filed on behalf of the respondents.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the departmental proceeding has already been concluded, this writ petition has become infructuous and he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file, if so advised, another writ petition, after exhausting alternative remedy, against the order inflicting punishment on the petitioner.

This writ petition is, accordingly, permitted to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

BKS/-

U			
---	--	--	--

