

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.42 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-17 Year-2015 Thana- KUNDWACHAINPUR District- East
Champaran

=====

KAMLESH SINGH S/o- Late Shiv Bahal Singh Resident of Village and P.O.-
Silha Ghat, P.S.- Krishnagarh, District- Bhojpur, at present posted as Officer
on Special Duty, Rural Works Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, DEPTT.
OF HOME, PATNA, BIHAR
2. The Principal Secretary, Food and Consumer Protection Department, Bihar,
Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Additional Director General of Police, Crime Investigation Department,
Bihar, Patna.
5. The Inspector General of Plice, Crime Investigation Department, Bihar,
Patna.
6. The Superintendent of Police (D), Crime Investigation Department, Bihar,
Patna.
7. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari, Bihar
8. The District Manager, State Food Corporation, East Champaran, Motihari.
9. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Motihari, Bihar.
10. The S.H.O. Kudva Chainpur, Police Station, Motihari.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. P. K. Shahi, Sr. Advocate Mr. Prabhakar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Irshad, AC to SC 1
For Res. 8	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar singh, Advocate Mr. Utkarsh Utpal, Advocate Mr. Akash Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 05-02-2020 There is no scope of interference of this Court sitting

under Article 226 of the Constitution of India when the name of

the petitioner has transpired in course of investigation and the

supervising authority has issued certain directions to the

investigating officer as against the petitioner.



Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner while addressing this Court having appreciated the scope and ambit of the writ application submits that under such circumstance he would instead of proceeding with this case choose to apply for an alternative remedy and to that extent liberty may be granted.

The writ application is, thus, disposed of without interfering with the impugned direction. It is always open for the petitioner to seek his remedy in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

