

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85017 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-80 Year-2019 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

VIMAL KUMAR @ BIMAL KUMAR Son of Jattu Yadav @ Jattu Singh Ray
Resident of Village-Pokharpar, Police Station-Makhdumpur, District-
Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramashankar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 07-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 342, 323, 379, 504, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner as per FIR is of snatching mobile of the informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and he has been implicated in this case due to animosity. Similarly, situated co-accused person has been granted bail vide order dated 26.06.2019 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 32441 of 2019. Petitioner is in custody since 15.09.2019.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with **Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 80 of 2019**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

