

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Letters Patent Appeal No.1703 of 2018**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10684 of 2017**

=====

Suraj Kumar Sharma, son of Ram Udar Sharma, Resident of village + PO-  
Kahua, P.S.- Sangrampur, District- Munger.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Secretary, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
3. The Examination Controller, Bihar Sanskrit Shiksha Board, Patna.
4. The Ram Lal Sarra, Sanskrit High School, Narghoghi, Samastipur, through its Headmaster.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                  |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| For the Appellant/s  | : | Mr.Mukund Mohan Jha              |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey -AAG15 |
| For the B.S.S.B.     | : | Mr. S.S.Sundaram, Adv.           |
|                      |   | Mr. Shashank Shekhar Jha, Adv.   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

3      09-01-2020                      This appeal filed on 04.12.2018 is listed for hearing  
for the first time today before the Court.

The appellant has prayed for the following relief:

“For setting aside the order dated 31.10.2018 passed in CWJC No. 10684 of 2017 by Hon’ble Single Judge, whereby and whereunder the Hon’ble Court has not considered the prayer for an enquiry as board is taking plea that on the roll number and roll code the name of other person is appearing while petitioner appeared in the Madhyama examination (2010-11) and passed in third Division and for the



marksheet and original certificate has been issued by the Sanskrit Shiksha Board.”

Having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we have considered view that no interference is warranted in the instant case as is sought by the present appellant/writ petitioner.

We find that the reasons assigned by the learned single Judge in arriving at the conclusion are based on correct and appreciation of materials on record and the reasons are well founded.

Accordingly, the appeal stands dismissed.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

sujit/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

