

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85550 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-4 Year-2019 Thana- SC/ST District- Jehanabad

=====

LAXMI DEVI Wife of Rajesh Kumar Resident of Village-Dangra Ahra, Post-Arwal, Police Station-Arwal, District-Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR
2. Anish Kumar Son of Late Lal Mohan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.
3. Manish Prasad Gupta Son of Late Lal Mohan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.
4. Raju Kumar Son of Late Lal Mohan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.
5. Sushil Kumar Gupta @ Modi Son of Sundar Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.
6. Sundar Prasad Gupta Son of Late Harinandan Saw Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.
7. Nawlesh Prasad Gupta Son of Late Brijnandan Prasad Gupta Resident of Village-Barki Dangra Ahra, Police Station and District-Arwal.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binay Krishna

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2020 This application has been filed for cancellation of the bail granted to the O.P.no.2 to 7 by the then Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 8.11.2019 in Cr. Appeal (S.J.) No.3640 of 2019 .

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that there is allegation against all the accused persons including the O.P.no.2 to 7 and several persons have received



injuries including the ladies but the Co-ordinate Bench has not considered the same while granting anticipatory bail to the O.P.no.2 to 7, however, he has admitted this fact that no specific allegation has been attributed against any of the O.P.no.2 to 7 either of assault or abusing by caste name .

Heard learned APP and perused the order granting anticipatory bail to the O.P.no.2 to 7 and the allegation appears to be general and omnibus in nature though there is allegation of abusing by caste name also but that is general in nature and considering the same anticipatory bail has been granted to O.P.no.2 to 7. there is no allegation of misuse of privilege of bail or tampering with the evidence..

In view of the discussions made above, there is no merit in this application.

According, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

