

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.84133 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-176 Year-2019 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

=====

RANJIT RISHI @ RANJEET RISHI Son of Krishnadeo Rishi @ Vishundev
Rishi @ Sise Rishi Resident of Village - Parora, P.S.- K.Nagar, District-
Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pawan Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umeshanand Pandit

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 17-03-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2019 in connection with Uda-Kishunganj P.S. Case No. 176 of 2019 for the alleged offences under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with the looting of 100 quintals maize from a tractor. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been implicated upon recovery of a mobile phone from his possession belonging to the driver of the tractor. It is submitted that the petitioner is a labourer and found the subject mobile phone on the road which he picked up, but has no concern with



the occurrence. The other three co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail/bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 84692 of 2019, Cr. Misc. No.55279 of 2019 and Cr. Misc. No. 8328 of 2020. The petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Learned APP appears and opposes the petition, submitting that the mobile phone of the driver of the looted tractor has been recovered from the petitioner.

5. Pursuant to the order dated 18.12.2019, a report has been received from the S.P., Madhepura, according to which no criminal antecedents appears against the petitioner.

6. Be that as it may, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. Uda-Kishunganj in connection with Uda-Kishunganj P.S. Case No. 176 of 2019, on the following conditions--

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself



available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

HR/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

