

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.4639 of 2020

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-578 Year-2018 Thana- KISHANGANJ District- Kishanganj

ANAMUL HAQUE Son of Sahabuddin @ Md. Sahabuddin Resident of
Village - Dharampur, P.S.- Goalpokhar, Distt - Uttar Dinajpur (W.B.)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Rashid Alam

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nawal Kishore Prasad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody seeks bail in a case registered under Sections 395, 397, 412, 396 of the Indian Penal Code and ³/₄ Explosive Substance Act and 25(1-b) (a) 26, 27, 35 Arms Act.

Informant has alleged in his written complaint that some miscreants entered his house and committed dacoity and thereafter exploded the bomb. Petitioner and three other accused persons were apprehended by the villagers and handed over to the police.

It has been submitted on behalf of petitioner that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case due to enmity and village rivalry. Similarly placed co-



accused have been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court as contained in Annexure- 3 series. Petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below, in connection with Kishanganj P.S. Case No. 578 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in



that case, prosecution will be at liberty to
move for cancellation of bail of the
petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

