

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16682 of 2018

Mrigendra Singh Son of Late Siyasharan Prasad Singh 77, Anandpuri, West
Boring Canal Road, Patna-1.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administrative Department, Bihar, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Bihar Public Service Commission, through its Chairman, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar.
4. Hon'ble Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar.
5. The Secretary, Bihar Public Service Commission, Through its Chairman, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar.
6. The officer on Special Duty Bihar Public Service Commission, 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg Bailey Road, Patna.
7. Dr. Ram Kishore Singh, Hon'ble Member BPSC, 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar.
8. Mr. Shakti Samant, Hon'ble Member, BPSC, 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar.
9. Mr. Pradeep KUMar Pandey, Hon'ble Member, BPSC, 15 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg, Bailey Road, Patna, Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey- AAG-15

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 21.08.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.



Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“For issuance of a writ of and/or in the nature of writ of and/or in the nature of writ of certiorari quashing/cancelling the 56th to 59th BPSC main (written) Exam Result published on 22.02.2018 by the Bihar Public Service Commission in light of the minutes of the meeting dated 22.02.2018 held by all three Hon’ble Members of Bihar Public Service Commission. The writ petitioner also prays for an order to stay the publication of the final results which are going to be published properly in the 2nd week of August 2018.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available



in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

BT/-

U			
---	--	--	--

