

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.81694 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2019 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

=====

MOHAMMAD ILIAS ANSARI @ MD. ILAYANS Son of Mohammad  
Sanoullah Resident of Village - Nehuta, P.S.- Aurangabad (M), Distt -  
Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Purushotam Sharma, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dashrath Mehta, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      13-01-2020                      Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner who is in custody seeks bail in a case registered  
for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 302,  
506, 307 of the IPC.

Informant has alleged that when he was busy in gossiping  
with his brother the co-villager Mohammad Haider Ali along with ten  
others came with *lathi*, *danda* and iron rods and order to kill  
whereupon co-accused assaulted by iron rod causing multiple injuries  
on his head, shoulder etc. of injured.

Allegation against Md. Ilias (Petitioner) is that he reached  
there and began to assault the informant with *lathi* causing head  
injury and other multiple injury on his person.

It is submitted that petitioner is innocent and has been  
falsely implicated in this case. Injury sustained by informant is  
simple in nature. He has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody  
since 23.09.2019.



Learned APP along with learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/-(Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, in connection with Mufassil P.S. Case No.211/2019, G.R. No.1677/2019 with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

**(S. Kumar, J)**

Prakash Narayan /-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

