

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24753 of 2018

=====

Sanjay Mandal son of Rajdeo Singh, resident of Village - Chandi, Itawan,
P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, I.C.D.S., Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, District- Gaya.
5. The District Magistrate, District- Aurangabad.
6. The District Programme Officer, I.C.D.S., District- Aurangabad
7. The Secretary, Divisional officer, Daudnagar, District- Aurangabad.
8. The Block Development officer, Anchal- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
9. The Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.), Block- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Dubey
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha- Ga7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 07-01-2020 The petition filed on 20.12.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“That the instant writ application by way of Public



Interest Litigation (P.I.L.) is being filed for issuance of any appropriate writ(s)/order(s), direction(s) commanding the Respondent concerned to cancel the whole selection process of Anganbari Sevika and Sahayika at different centres under Haspura Block of District- Aurangabad, hold a high level enquiry by any independent agency in the large scale illegality, irregularity and unfairness done in the appointment and selection by the selection committee.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in



accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

