

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25089 of 2019

=====

Kanhaiya Lal Bhaskar son of Late Ramayan Prasad, resident of Sasaram Town, Police Station- Sasaram, District Sasaram at Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary Road Construction Dept. R.C.D. Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sasaram at Rohtas.
4. The Sub Division Officer Sasaram at Rohtas.
5. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parisad Sasaram at Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kanhaiya Lal Bhaskar (In Person)
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Raj Ballabh Prasad Yadav (Aag11)
For Nagar Parishad, Sasaram : Mr. Vijay Shanker Upadhayay

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH)

2 07-01-2020 Heard Mr. Kanhaiya Lal Bhaskar, the writ petitioner,

in person, and leaned A.C. to AAG-11.

The present writ application has been filed for a direction to respondent authorities to construct fly over on old G.T. road situated under area of Sasaram Nagar Parisad from Beda Canal to S.P. Jain College Gate.

The prayer as stipulated in paragraph No.1 of the writ application reads as follows :-

“1. That the prayer for direction to the respondents by issuing writ of Mandamus or any other writ or direction or directions to construct the Flyover on old G.T. Road



situated under Area of Sasaram Nagar Parisad from beda Canal to S.P. Jain College Gate.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that non-construction of the flyover over the public land is leading congestion of traffic causing inconvenience to the public at large.

Considering the nature of prayer made in the writ application, the petitioner is at liberty to submit a detailed representation before the respondent No.2, Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna within a period of four weeks.

It is expected from respondent No.2 to consider the representation of the petitioner and dispose of the same by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of six weeks thereafter in accordance with law.

Accordingly, this writ application is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

