

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22701 of 2018

=====

Pappu Kumar Singh Son of Ramadhar Singh Resident of Village-Neema
Anjan,P.S. Madanpur,Aurangabad Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad Bihar
3. The District Development Commissioner, Aurangabad Bihar
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Aurangabad Bihar
5. The Circle Officer, Madanpur, Aurangabad Bihar
6. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat, Neema Anjan, Madanpur, Aurangabad Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar -AAG-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 28.11.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“I. For issuance of direction commanding the
respondents to hold enquiry and fix responsibility
with regard to construction of Marriage Mandup
Panchayat Govt. Building which is being
constructed having filling up the Aahar from the



Government fund particularly M.P. fund and fund of Manrega.

II. For issuance of direction upon the respondents concern enquire into the matter and marked land for construction of marriage mandap, Government Panchayat Bhawan.

III. For issuance of direction upon the respondents concern to do not filled up the Aahar (Tank) from the Govt. Fund because Aahar (tank) is only source of irrigation of the villagers.

IV. For grant of any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be found entitled to in the eye of law.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.



We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

