

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.79514 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2017 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

RAMAKANT SAH @ RAMA KANT SAH @ RAMAKANT SHAH Son of
Hiraman Sah Resident of Village - Baraitha, P.O.- Ahiapur, P.S.- Vijayeeपुर,
District- Gopalganj Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Bindhyachal Rai
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nirmal Kumar Sinha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 409 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is to have
deliberately delayed in making available the Megha Suchi to the
concerned authority.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case.
Similarly placed co-accused Devendra Mishra has already
been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide
order dated 25.11.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No.74979 of 2019.
Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No.110 of 2017 subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

