

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.80133 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-13 Year-2017 Thana- ROSHANGANJ District- Gaya

=====

Dhiraj @ Dhiru Jee @ Dhanuk @ Dhiru Yadav (Male aged about 26 years)
Son of Bangali Yadav Resident of Village - Bankar Paharpur, P.S.- Amas,
District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S. Jamil Akhtar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Md. Arif, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 06-01-2020

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Roshanganj PS Case
No. 13 of 2017 dated 29.01.2017 corresponding to G.R. No.
186 of 2017 instituted under Sections 414 of the Indian Penal
Code, 25(1-B)(a)/26/35 of the Arms Act and 17 of the Criminal
Law Amendment Act, 1935.

3. The petitioner is accused of being commander
of an extremist outfit.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that
he has been falsely implicated as he was not at the spot and only
on the confession of the persons who were caught by the police.



It was submitted that though the petitioner has many other cases registered against him but all are on account of false implication. It was further submitted that from the petitioner no incriminating material has been recovered.

5. Learned APP submitted that the name of the petitioner had transpired from before and the police had specifically gone for catching the petitioner and two others but only two persons were caught and they also admitted that they were working under the leadership of the petitioner. It was submitted that in various police stations right from the year 2010 there have been 16 other cases against the petitioner of similar nature under serious sections and he is the leader of an extremist organisation.

6. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

AFR/NAFR	
U	
T	

