

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.317 of 2020

=====

Rajendra Paswan S/o Late Rohan Paswan Resident of Village- Uttari Lodhwe,
Panchayat- Uttari Lodhwe, P.S.- Fatehpur, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Gaya.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Gaya.
4. The Additional District Supply Officer, Sadar, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dhananjaya Nath Tiwari
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Arvind Ujjwal (Sc4)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-02-2020

1. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 13.11.2019, whereby and whereunder the P.D.S. licence of the petitioner bearing licence no. 41 of 2016 has been cancelled by the Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Gaya.

2. The short issue raised by the petitioner in the present case is that the impugned order dated 13.11.2019 would itself show that opinion was sought for from the In-charge Assistant District Supply Officer, Sadar, Gaya and on the basis thereof, the Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Gaya has cancelled the licence of the petitioner. It is thus submitted that the said



action of the Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Gaya is illegal, inasmuch as the petitioner has not been supplied with a copy of the opinion of the In-charge Assistant District Supply Officer, Sadar, Gaya so as to enable him to submit his wholesome defence which has resulted in denial of fair opportunity to the petitioner to establish his innocence, hence it is submitted that the Principles of Natural Justice have been violated. In this connection, the learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order/ judgment of this Court dated **17.12.2018 and 30.05.2019 passed in CWJC no. 16872 of 2016 and CWJC no. 10937 of 2016** respectively.

3. The position existing as on fact as also in law, is not denied by the learned counsel for the State.

4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of this case, considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties as also considering the aforesaid judgments rendered by this Court, this Court finds that the S.D.O., Sadar, Gaya has passed the impugned order dated 13.11.2019, primarily on the basis of the information furnished by the In-charge Assistant District Supply Officer, Sadar, Gaya, which was never supplied to the petitioner herein, hence the petitioner was denied a fair opportunity to put forth his defense resulting in violation of



Principals of Natural Justice, thus the impugned order dated 13.11.2019 cannot be sustained in the eyes of law, hence is quashed. The writ petition stands allowed, however with liberty to the S.D.O., Sadar, Gaya to proceed afresh, in accordance with law.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

rinkee/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07.03.2020
Transmission Date	NA

