

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21796 of 2018

Chandrika Singh, Son of late Madho Singh, Resident of Village- Bhaur, P.S.-
Khaira, District- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police (Economic Offence Unit), Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Vigilance Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Director General, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna.
6. Punjab National Bank through its Assistant General Manager, Patna.
7. The Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, East Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
8. The Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Jamui.
9. Sanjay Kumar Son of Ram Nandan Singh Resident of Village- Barabandh,
P.S. Khaira, District- Jamui.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.
For the Respondents : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Verma, AAG-3

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 05.11.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

“(i) For inquire into the role of the officer of the
Punjab National Bank who are involved in allowing



the bank loan after playing time without number in favour of Sanjay Kumar (Private respondent no. 9) contrary to the procedure for granting loan sanction.
(ii) Also for commanding the respondents to lodge FIR against officials of the Punjab National Bank concerned and private respondent Sanjay Kumar in misusing the public money after allowing the Bank loan contrary to the own decision and procedure for grant of loan.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

P.K.P./-Rajeev
Kumar

U			
---	--	--	--

