

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4174 of 2018**

**In**  
**Letters Patent Appeal No.2058 of 2016**

=====

Bechan Pandey S/o Late Lal Bihari Pandey, Residen tof Village and P.O.-  
Pirhi, P.S.-Babubarhi, District-Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through Mr. R. K. Mahajan The Principal Secretary,  
Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Ram Chandrudu, The Director Primary Education, Vikas Bhawan, Patna.
3. Mr. Ram Kumar, The District Education Officer, Madhubani.
4. Mr. Satyanaran Paswan, The District Provident Fund Officer, Madhubani.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey, AAG 15

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

2      07-01-2020                      The petition filed on 10.10.2018 is listed for hearing  
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
counsel for the respondents.

This application has been preferred praying inter alia  
for the following relief/s:

“For initiating of Contempt Proceeding against  
Contemnors/Opposite Parties, who had willfully and  
deliberately not complying the order of this Hon’ble Court  
passed on 19.12.2017 by Hon’ble Justice Ajay Kumar



Tripathi and Hon'ble Justice Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, in L.P.A. No.2054/2016 whereby and whereunder this Hon'ble Court was pleased to disposed off the L.P.A. with direction to the District Provident Fund Officer, Madhubani as well as the Block Education Officer, Andhradhari, Madhubani to ensure that payment of the amount so talked about in the letter of the District Provident Fund Officer accrues to the appellant without further delay, preferably within a period of three months from the date of production of a copy of this order."

In view of the developments during the pendency of the present application, it cannot be said that the respondents have not taken any action, much less that their act is contumacious in any manner.

The application is disposed of.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

K.C.Jha/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

