

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22157 of 2018

=====

K C C Dharak Sangh Through Its Secretary Namely Sunil Singh S/o Basudeo Singh, R/o Village- Dakshani Karhariya, P.S.- Bariyarpur, District- Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India THROUGH Finance Secretary, Union of India, New Delhi.
2. The Agriculture Secretary, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. The Director, NABARD, the Union of India, New Delhi.
4. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Munger.
6. The District Agriculture Officer, Munger. null null
7. The Block Agriculture Officer, Bariyarpur Block District- Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

(The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.)

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 07-12-2020

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:-

"That the present writ petition is being filed by the petitioner in the shape of Public Interest Litigation for direction to the respondent authorities to make payment of Crop Insurance Scheme to the poor farmers to whole of the State of Bihar, which crop has been destroyed due to natural calamities under the scheme of Prime Minister Crop Insurance Scheme for the Financial Year 2016-17 which has not been paid by the respondent authorities."

After the matter was heard for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the **respondent no. 5 District**



Magistrate, Munger, to consider and decide the representation with respect to grievances raised in such representation.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that if such representation is filed before the **respondent no. 5 District Magistrate, Munger**, same shall be considered and decided within a period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation in accordance with law.

Equally liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

Liberty reserved to petitioner to approach the court, if the need so arises subsequently on the same and subsequent cause of action.

The writ petition is accordingly, disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

