

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.77032 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2019 Thana- DELHA District- Gaya

=====

SURAJ SINGH @ SURAJ KUMAR SINGH Son of Ranjeet Singh Resident
of Village-Chhotaki Nawada, in front of flour Mill, P.S-Delha, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravindra Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 25-09-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State through video conferencing.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Delha P.S. Case No. 204 of 2019, registered under Sections
341, 342, 504, 506, 354(B), 305(D), 116 and 503 of the Indian
Penal Code, pending in the court of the learned A.C.J.M.VII,
Gaya.

The accusation is that informant came in touch of
petitioner, who was living in her mohalla, before three years,
the petitioner assured to perform marriage with her. In the
meantime, he also managed to take her personal photograph
without her knowledge, but before two years, the petitioner
performed his marriage to other girl then informant made
request to petitioner to delete her photograph, but petitioner



was not ready for the same and on the basis of her photographs, the petitioner used to blackmail her for developing physical relation. On 18.07.2019, while the informant was going to attend coaching, at that time, petitioner came near her and, forcibly, boarded her in the Alto vehicle and thereafter, she was taken in the hotel, where petitioner started to give pressure for physical relation. When informant made protest then she was assaulted and threatened to throw acid in case of refusing his proposal.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that, in fact, petitioner and informant live in same mohalla and informant wanted to perform marriage with the petitioner, but the family members of petitioner was not ready for the same due to that reasons, the present false case has been lodged. Further submission is that after lodging the present case, the informant filed compromise petition in the Court of learned A.C.J.M.VII, Gaya on 13.08.2019.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail stands rejected. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the



trial Court within four weeks and pray for regular bail, which shall be considered by the trial Court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

