

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1654 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.- Year-0 Thana- District- Patna

=====

PRADEEP KUMAR Son of Rameshwar Prasad Resident of At the house of
Jawahar Rajak, Road No. 24, Rajeev Nagar, P.S.- Rajeev Nagar, District -
Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Director General Of Police, Bihar, Patna.
Bihar
2. The State of Jharkhand through the Director General of Police, Jharkhand,
Ranchi. Jharkhand
3. The Superintendent of Police, Madhubani, Bihar. Bihar
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Ranchi, Jharkhand. Jharkhand.
5. Ratnesh Jha Son of Ramchandra Jha Permanent resident of Village -
Shivnagar, P.S.- Benipatti, District - Madhubani. At present Resident of New
Pundag, Johar Nagar near Sailcity, P.S.- Argora, District - Ranchi,
Jharkhand.
6. Nita Jha Wife of Ratnesh Jha Permanent resident of Village - Shivnagar,
P.S.- Benipatti, District - Madhubani. At present Resident of New Pundag,
Johar Nagar near Sailcity, P.S.- Argora, District - Ranchi, Jharkhand.
7. Swati Rani Jha Wife of Pradeep Kumar Daughter of Ratnesh Jha, Permanent
resident of Village - Shivnagar, P.S.- Benipatti, District - Madhubani. At
present Resident of New Pundag, Johar Nagar near Sailcity, P.S.- Argora,
District - Ranchi, Jharkhand.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Om Prakash Singh, Advocate
For Respondent/s 1&3	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore, A.G.
For Respondent Nos 2&4	:	Mr. Nishi Nath Ojha, Advocate
		Mr. Jay Prakash Singh, Advocate
For Respondent No. 7	:	Mr. Nityanand Jha, Advocate
		Mr. Suresh Mishra, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR



ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 05-03-2020 This application has been preferred praying inter alia
for the following relief/s:

“(i) For issuance of writ/writs in the nature of habeas corpus commanding the respondent no. 1 and 2 to produce the respondent no. 7, legally wedded wife of the petitioner, before this Hon’ble Court who is kept in illegal confinement by her parent at the house of Respondent No. 5 and 6, against the consent or free will of the respondent no. 7.

(ii) For issuance of order/orders, direction/directions to permit respondent no. 7 to live according to her own free will as Respondent No. 7 is a major.

(iii) For issuance of such other direction/directions may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

It is not in dispute that the matrimonial relationship between Pradeep Kumar, petitioner herein, and private respondent no. 7, Swati Rani Jha, stands annulled by way of a judicial pronouncement.

Thus, in our considered view, the present petition has become infructuous and on account of intervening developments, no other and further orders are required to be



passed.

The application, accordingly, stands disposed off as
having become infructuous.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/uma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

