

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.25840 of 2019

=====

Md. Sabir Hussain S/o Md. Sahamat Resident of Village- Maidhara, P.s.-
Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, General Administration
Department, Govt. of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of
Bihar, Patna
3. The Commissioner Patna Division, patna
4. The District Magistrate Rohtas at Sasaram
5. The Sub Divisional Magistrate Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas
7. The Block Development Officer Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas
8. The Circle Officer Bikramganj, Distt.- Rohtas

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jitendra Prasad Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md.Khurshid Alam (Aag12)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 21.12.2019 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief:

“For issuance of an appropriate writ or writs
directing the respondents not to construct the



office of Bikramganj Block and Circle over the land bearing Khata No. 302, Plot Nos. 54,82 and 85 situated at Mauza Salempur, Thana No. 528 within the district of Rohtas as the nature of land is pond recorded in the name of State of Bihar as well as situated far away from the present block and circle office which is against the interest of public at large.”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law, before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.



The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

sujit/-

U			
---	--	--	--

