

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.82365 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-524 Year-2014 Thana- BHAGALPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhagalpur

-
1. MD. NAUSAD SIDDIQUI @ MD. NAUSAD @ CHUNNU Son of Basir Siddique Resident of Village- Jumman Ansari N.T. Gosh Lane, Champa Nagar, P.S.- Nath Nagar, District- Bhagalpur, at present R/o- Achanak Nagar (Shankar Mandir Road) in front of Meraj Bakry, P.S.- Mumbai, District- Thane (Maharashtra).
 2. Bibi Farhana @ Farana Wife of Basir Siddique @ Vasir Siddique Resident of Village- Jumman Ansari N.T. Gosh Lane, Champa Nagar, P.S.- Nath Nagar, District- Bhagalpur, at present R/o- Achanak Nagar (Shankar Mandir Road) in front of Meraj Bakry, P.S.- Mumbai, District- Thane (Maharashtra).
 3. Bibi Salma @ Salma Wife of Md. Nausad Siddiqui @ Md. Nausad @ Chunnu Resident of Village- Jumman Ansari N.T. Gosh Lane, Champa Nagar, P.S.- Nath Nagar, District- Bhagalpur, at present R/o- Achanak Nagar (Shankar Mandir Road) in front of Meraj Bakry, P.S.- Mumbai, District- Thane (Maharashtra).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Tabassum Pravin @ Soni Wife of Md. Nausad Siddique @ Chunnu, D/o Md. Ekram Resident of Mohalla- Mojahidpur Ultapul, P.S.- Mojahidpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajive Ranjan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kanhaiya Kishore

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.524 of 2014 registered for offences punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner no.1 happens to be husband of the complainant, petitioner no.2 is the mother-in-law and the petitioner no.3 is the wife. Case is under Section 498A of the IPC.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the allegation is false and concocted and he is still ready to



keep her with dignity and care.

Heard learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the petitioner has married with another lady who is petitioner no.3 in this case.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner no.1 (Md. Nausad Siddiqui @ Md. Nausad @ Chunnu).

So far petitioner nos. 2 and 3, above named, are concerned, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below, they will be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Bhagalpur in connection with Complaint Case No.524 of 2014, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

With the above direction, this application is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

