

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86308 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2019 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

=====

DHARMENDRA KUMAR YADAV @ DHARO YADAV @ DHARO Son of
Sitaram Yadav Resident of Village - Charghara, P.S.- Jhajha, District - Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akash Raj, Adv & Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner who is in custody seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant who is Officer in Bandhan Bank has alleged in his written complaint that on 17.01.2019 while he was returning after collecting cash, three unknown miscreants followed him and snatched away cash of Rs. 42,210/- kept in a bag on the strength of arms.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case on suspicion. Petitioner is in custody since 13.06.2019 and has not been put on TIP. Chargesheet has already been submitted in this case.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, in connection with **Jhajha P.S. Case No. 12 of 2019**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

