

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24115 of 2019

Raj Kishore Pandey, aged about 64 years (Male), Son of Late Wakil Pandey,
Resident of Village- Lauriya, Police Station-Gobindganj, District-East
Champaran.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The General Manager, S.B.I. CPPC 4th Floor Administrative Building
Judges Court Road, Patna. 800001.
2. The Chief Manager, S.B.I. CPPC 4th Floor Administrative Building Judges
Court Road, Patna.800001.
3. The Branch Manager, Areraj Branch Nichidih, S.B.I. East
Champaran.845411.
4. The Union of India through the Secretary Ministry of Defence Department
Of Ex-Service Man, Welfare, D (Pension Grievances) New Delhi.
5. The Union of India through the Principal Controller of Defence Account
(Pension) G3, Section Draupdighat Allahabad.211014
6. The Record Officer Bihar Regiment Abhilekh Karyalaya Records the Bihar
Regiment. PIN-908765 C/o-56 APO

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Amit Kumar
For the U.O.I. : Mr.S.D. Sanjay, Addl. S.C.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 22-06-2020 Due to COVID-19 Pandemic, the matter is being
taken up by way of virtual Court proceeding.

The matter has been listed under the heading ‘For
Orders’ under the orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The following reliefs have been sought for on behalf
of the petitioner in the present writ application.

“1(i) For commanding the respondent-
Bank to ensure payment under the head



of current service element as well as disability elements as fixed by the Ministry of Defence in regard to the services rendered by him in the Indian Armed Force.

(ii) Also for commanding the respondent-Bank to make payment arrears of the due amount under the aforesaid two heads which has been being stopped since the year 2001, with statutory interest thereon.”

On behalf of the respondents, it has been submitted that the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed by the respondents. The said submission has not been controverted by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ application.

The present writ application stands disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U			
---	--	--	--

