

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14825 of 2018

=====

Deonandan Rai son of Late Satya Narayan Rai, resident of Village + P.O.
Malikaur Via Dighra District Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The Union of India, through the Secretary, DARE, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
2. The Secretary, Department of Agriculture and Research Education, New Delhi.
3. The State of Bihar through the Agricultural Production Commissioner, Agriculture Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The Agricultural Production Commissioner, Agriculture Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Vice Chancellor, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, District Samastipur null
6. The Comptroller, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, District Samastipur.

... .. Respondents.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar, Advocate Mr. Vaidehi Raman Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh- A.A.G.13 Ms. Kanak Verma (C.G.C.) Ms. Chandra Mohan Singh, Advocate
For Respondent Nos.5 & 6;	:	Mr. D.K. Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 01-12-2020

Petitioner has prayed for following relief (s) : -



“That this is an application for a direction to the State/Union of India to conduct Vigilance/CBI enquiry in Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University (DRPCAUI) (erstwhile Rajendra Agricultural University relating to financial irregularities, between 2011-12 to 2016-17 as would be apparent from the Abstract of the Annual accounts, as well as appointment of Asst. Professors on without sanctioned Post.”

Shri D.K. Sinha, learned Senior Counsel, appearing for the Respondent Nos. 5 and 6, vehemently opposes the present petition on the ground that the present petition is not only belated, but stands filed to satisfy the personal cause, more so out of vengeance, so that no benefit could be accorded to the family members of the respondents.

Finding the Court not inclined to agree with the submission made on behalf of the petitioner, learned counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petition reserving liberty to invite attention of the authority concerned to the irregularities/illegalities, if any.

Indulgentlly, we grant such permission.

Accordingly, petition is disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.



Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand
disposed of.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

K.C.Jha/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	08.12.2020
Transmission Date	

