

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13612 of 2018**

=====

Sunil Singh Son of Sri Ramchandra Singh, Resident of Village - Chhakkan  
Bigha, P.S. Naubatpur, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Secretary-cum-Commissioner, Rural Work Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer In Chief, Rural Work Department, Govt. of Bihar at Patna.
4. The Chief Engineer, Rural Works Division, Patna.
5. The Superintending Engineer, Rural Work Department Work Circle, Patna.
6. The Executive Engineer, Rural Work Department, Work Division, Paliganj, Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, Patna.
8. The Circle Officer, Paliganj.

... .. Respondents.

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Pushkar Narain Shahi- AAG6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**  
**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date : 01-12-2020**

Petitioner has prayed for following relief(s) : -

“That, this Public Interest Litigation petition is being filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the Respondent authorities to stop the illegal construction of Road on canal (Paine) from village -Bara to Chhakkan Bigha under Mauza Salarpur, Thana No.196 Block-Paliganj, District-Patna and after stopping of the



said illegal construction be further please to directing the respondents authority to remove the illegal construction on canal (paine) of the said work and be further please to pass an appropriate writ or writs direct or direction as your lordships may deem fit and proper.”

On 20<sup>th</sup> of February, 2020 none had appeared for the petitioner when following order was passed:

“Learned counsel states that in paragraph no. 7 of the counter affidavit, respondents have clearly mentioned the execution of work being carried out, pursuant to, no objection received from the concerned authorities.

Despite pass over, none has appeared on behalf of petitioner, as such the present petition needs dismissal only on merits.

List on 16.03.2020.”.

Even today none appears on behalf of the present petitioner.

From the response filed by the State, it is apparent that the road is being constructed as per law under the PMJSY scheme. It appears that the present petition is motivated on account of some personal interest. The petitioner, who claims to be a social worker, has not placed on record any material to indicate any such social activity in nature carried out by him.

Petition stands disposed of.



Interlocutory application, if any, shall also stand  
disposed of.

**(Sanjay Karol, CJ)**

**( S. Kumar, J)**

K.C.Jha/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          |            |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 04.12.2020 |
| Transmission Date |            |

