

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.74132 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-365 Year-2018 Thana- CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- Saran

MANOJ KUMAR SINGH, Son of Late Vishwanath Singh Resident of
Village- Vishunpura Teja Tola, P.S.- Chapra Muffasil, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.. ... Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Mishra, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 147, 188, 353 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant who is police officer has alleged that during Bharat Bandh called by some organization, petitioner were found causing public disturbance and obstructing movement of people and traffic and have been identified by the chaukidar.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case and was only a spectator and not agitator. Petitioner protest was peaceful and no one suffered any injury. Petitioner has no



criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Chapra Muffasil P.S. Case No. 365 of 2018, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will be sufficient to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

