

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 74512 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-616 Year-2019 Thana- MASAUDHI District- Patna

-
1. Lallu Chaudhary @ Lalu Choudhary (Male) Aged about 45 years Son of Late Shiv Sharan Chaudhary
 2. Nitish Kumar (Male) Aged about 25 years, Son of Lallu Chaudhary @ Lalu Choudhary
Both Resident at Village - Dahimatta, P.S.- Masaurhi, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sudish Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2. 13-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Masaurhi.
P.S. Case No. 616 of 2019 registered for the offence under
Section 341, 323, 354, 379 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

There is case and counter case in between the
parties.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that
petitioners have falsely been implicated due to local village
politics. There is general and omnibus allegation. There is no
injury report. It is further submitted that except Sections 379
and 354 of the Indian Penal Code, all sections are bailable.
Petitioners are having no criminal antecedent.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as nature of accusation, in the event of their arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the above named petitioners be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Masaurhi (Patna) in connection with Masaurhi P.S. Case No. 616 of 2019 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail-bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

