

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87336 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-232 Year-2012 Thana- KATORIYA District- Banka

=====

RAMCHANDRA DAS @ RAMU DAS @ RAM CHANDER DAS, aged
about 40 years, (Male), S/o Chintaman Das R/o village- Chengakhar, P.S.-
Fullidumar, District- Banka

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Brij Nandad Prasad, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akhileshwar Dayal (APP)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 20-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 395 and
412 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per F.I.R. informant has alleged that on
16.12.2012 at about 2:00 A.M., 8-10 unknown miscreants
committed dacoity in his house and looted away cash, mobile
phones and other household articles.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that he is innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner is
not named in the F.I.R. He has been falsely implicated in this
case due to village rivalry. Similarly placed co-accused has



been granted bail by co-ordinate bench of this court vide order dated 18.12.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 80335 of 2019. Petitioner is in custody since 20.07.2019 having no criminal antecedent.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Banka, in connection with Katoriya (Jaipur) P.S. Case No. 232 of 2012, subject to conditions:

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be represented on each and every date fixed by the court.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

