

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72654 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-269 Year-2018 Thana- KUDHNI District- Muzaffarpur

Manish Kumar Ray, Son of Late Arvind Kumar Ray, Resident of Village -
Hathsarganj, P.S.- Town, District - Vaishali

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jain, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 14-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner in the present case is seeking regular bail in connection with Kudhani P.S. Case No. 269 of 2018 registered for the offences punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing incriminating article has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and he has not been put on T.I.P. so far as also that the co-accused have been granted bail by learned Co-ordinate Benches of this Court vide Annexure '2 series'.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner has got huge criminal antecedent inasmuch as 8 criminal cases



are there against him and all the cases are for the offences of the serious nature and under the Arms Act. This is a case in which there are allegations of Bank loot against the petitioner. So far as the bail granted to the co-accused Rajkapur Sah and Pankaj Kumar Pandit are concerned, it does not appear from the said order granting bail that either they had criminal antecedents or those were brought to the notice of the learned Co-ordinate Bench, the another co-accused Shivji Paswan has been granted bail noticing no criminal antecedent of the said petitioner whereas in this case the petitioner has got eight cases on his head.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, wherein it seems to be a case of Bank loot and the petitioner has got 8 cases of serious offences on his head and the learned Sessions Judge has referred various paragraphs of the case diary showing sufficient materials to implicate the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner in the present case. The application is, thus, dismissed.

Let the trial be expedited.

arvind/vats

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

