

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72548 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-957 Year-2011 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE District- Banka

=====

AMIT KUMAR BHAGAT Son of Basuki Bhagat Resident of Village-
Amarpur, Police Station- Amarpur, District- Banka.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Poonam Devi D/o Dinesh Bhagat Resident of Shyam Bazar, Police Station-
Bounsi, District- Banka.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kr Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 13-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner who is in custody seeks bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498A and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of torturing the Informant along with FIR named accused for non fulfillment of demand of Rs. 1 Lacs, Colour T.V. and Motorcycle

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that allegation of demand of dowry and torture is false and concocted.



Petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2019.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending, in connection with **Complaint Case No. 957 of 2011**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

