

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22884 of 2019

=====

Kumari Punam Yadav @ Punam Kumari W/o Panchanand Yadav, Resident of
Village-Ramphal Ke Tola, P.S. Barhara, Dist-Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Principal Secretary of Department of Social Welfare, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. District Magistrate, Bhojpur, Ara.
5. District Programme Officer, Bhojpur, Ara.
6. Child Development Project Officer, Barhara, Bhojpur, Ara.
7. District Programme Officer, Bhojpur, Ara.
8. The Secretary of Selection Commission cum Female Supervisor of Barhara, Bhojpur.
9. Kumari Priyanka Yadav, W/o Vishambhar Yadav (but wrongly claimed that she is wife of Anmol Kumar Yadav) resident of Village-Ramphal Ke Tola, P.S. Barhara, Dist-Bhojpur.
10. Kiran Devi, W/o Dilip Yadav, Resident of Village-Ramphal Ke Tola, P.S. Barhara, Dist-Bhojpur.
11. Sunita Yadav, w/o Shailendra Kumar Yadav, Resident of Village-Ramphal Ke Tola, P.S. Barhara, Dist-Bhojpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravi Ranjan Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sunil Kr. Mandal SC-3 with Mr. Bipin Kumar and Mr. Ravi Ranjan Kr. Singh AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State-respondent.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the relief in
the instant writ petition is based on petitioner's assertion that the
first empanelled candidate in the process of selection of
Anganwari Sevika for Centre No.195 of Ramphal Ke Tola, Ward



No.3 in the District of Bhojpur, is based on forged and fabricated documents. The application to this effect has been made to the various Authorities. The Competent Authority, however, is the District Programme Officer, Bhojpur, Ara, (Respondent No.5). The petitioner has enclosed the application (Annexure-5) made to the District Programme Officer agitating a grievance relating to the selection process.

It is submitted by petitioner's counsel that in view of alternative remedy available to the petitioner under the *Anganwari* Selection guidelines, the petitioner has already approached the Competent Authority.

Since petitioner has already approached the competent Authority, there is no occasion for this Court to exercise jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is disposed of with direction to the District Programme Officer, Bhojpur, Ara (respondent No.5) to consider the petitioner's claim and dispose it by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of eight (08) weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

shyambihari/-

U			
---	--	--	--

