

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 22087 of 2019

1. Md. Afreen Akhtar, Male, aged about 23 years, Son of Md. Firoz Akhtar, resident of Village- Fatehpur, Police Station- Zeromile, Bhagalpur, Block- Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
2. Zainab Akhtar @ Shaista Begum (Female), aged about 18 years daughter of Md. Firoz Akhtar, resident of Village- Fatehpur, Police Station- Zeromile, Bhagalpur, Block- Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.
3. Adeeba Fatima, Female aged about 14 years, Minor Daughter of Md. Perwez Akhtar, through its natural guardian and father namely Md. Perwez Akhtar, Son of Md. Abdul Mazid, resident of Village- Fatehpur, Police Station- Zeromile, Bhagalpur, Block- Sabour, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Tilkamanjhi University, through its Registrar, Bhagalpur.
3. The Vice-Chancellor, Tilkamanjhi University, Bhagalpur.
4. The Registrar, Tilkamanjhi University, Bhagalpur.
5. The Principal, Sunderwati Mahila College, Bhagalpur.
6. The Branch Manager, Punjab National Bank, Sunderwati Mahila College Campus, Bhagalpur.
7. The Accountant General, Accountant General Office, Birchand, Patel Marg, Patna.
8. The Director, Provident Fund, Directorate Provident Fund Accounts, R. Block, Patna.
9. The District Provident Account Officer, Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Murlidhar Mishra, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan, AC to GP 20
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan and Mr. Pallav, Advocates
For the PNB	:	Mr. Kumar Priya Ranjan and Mr. Pallav, Advocates
For the University	:	Mr. Ashhar Mustafa, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN
AMANULLAH**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned AC



to GP 20 for the State; learned counsel for the TM Bhagalpur University; learned counsel for the Accountant General and learned counsel for the Punjab National Bank.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the grievance of the petitioners have been redressed and all admissible dues have been paid to them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the aforesaid.

4. In view thereof, the writ application stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

P. Kumar

U			
---	--	--	--

