

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1354 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7075 of 2014

=====

Pinki Kumari, W/o Shri Rajeev Kumar Gupta, R/o Vill-Kajha Kothi, Mushari,
Block-K. Nagar, P.S.-K. Nagar, District-Purnea.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary of the Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director of the Integrated Child Development Scheme, Directorate, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner of the Purnea Division, Purnea.
4. The Regional Development Officer, Purnea Division, Purnea.
5. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
6. The District Programme Officer, Purnea.
7. The Child Development Project Officer, Kritya Nand Nagar, District-Purnea.
8. Priti Kumari, w/o Sri Murari Ram, R/o Kajhakothi Mushari, P.O.-Kajha, P.S. K. Nagar, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr.Rajeev Kumar Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH)

4 16-01-2020 Heard the parties.

I.A. No.1 of 2019

I.A. No.1 of 2019 has been preferred for condonation
of delay in filing the present Appeal.

Considering the grounds taken in the interlocutory
application, the delay in filing of the present appeal is condoned.

Re. L.P.A. No.1354 of 2019

The present L.P.A. has been filed against the



Judgment dated 09.01.2018 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 7075 of 2014 whereby the learned Single Judge has declined to interfere with the order by which the appellant has been dismissed from the post of Anganwari Sevika in the District Centre No.6 of District-Purnea.

It appears that the appellant was dismissed by the District Programmer Officer, Purnea vide order dated 01.07.2013 and the said order was affirmed by the Regional Development Officer, Purnea Division vide order dated 14.12.2013. Thereafter, Respondent No.8, Priti Kumari was appointed on the vacant post after dismissal of the appellant, in 2014. The learned Single Judge has also dismissed the writ application, on the ground that the plea that the enquiry report was not supplied to the appellant, was taken for the first time in the writ application.

In that view of the matter, we do not find any perversity in the order impugned.

Accordingly, this L.P.A. is dismissed.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

sanjeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

