

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67836 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2018 Thana- CIVIL LINE District- Gaya

MD. ANWAR @ MUNNA JEE, Son of Md. Shabir, Resident of Village-
Maroofganj, P.S-Kotwali, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Singh (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2020 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 121, 121A, 122 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 17, 18, 18B, 20 and 23 of UA(P) Act, 1976 and 25(1-B), 26 and 35 of the Arms Act and Section 67 of the I.T. Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is of indulging in terrorist activities.

Petitioner has earlier moved this Court for bail vide Cr. Misc. No. 62472 of 2018 which was rejected on 22.11.2018 with a liberty to renew his prayer for bail if the trial is not concluded with a period of one year in custody. Petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2018.



Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the observation made in order dated 22.11.2018 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 62472 of 2018, petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned court below where the case is pending in connection with Sessions Trial No. 334 of 2018 (112 of 2019) arising out of Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 60 of 2018 with following conditions:

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and valid reason trial court shall have liberty to cancel his bail bond.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

