

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20709 of 2019

=====

Madan Kumar S/o Basdev Sav R/o Village- Barah, P.s.- Punpun, distt.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Prohibition Excise and Registration Department, Govt. of Bihar
2. The Excise Commissioner Prohibition Excise and Registration Department, Govt. of Bihar
3. The District Magistrate Patna
4. The Superintendent of Police Patna
5. The Officer in Charge Police Station Punpun, distt.- Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajit Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR)

Date : 06-07-2020

The proceedings of the Court are being conducted through Video Conferencing and the Advocates joined the proceedings through Video Conferencing from their residence.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

This writ petition has been filed for release of TVS Black Colour Motorcycle bearing registration no. BR01 DU-5452, Engine No. DP1DJ2450195, Chasis No. MD621EP19J3D14792 which has been seized in connection



with Punpun P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 registered under Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Allegation is recovery of 80 Kg of mahua flower from the vehicle of petitioner, on basis of which Punpun P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 was instituted for offences under Excise Act and the mahua flower as well as vehicle were seized.

Division Bench of this court in its judgment dated 09.07.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 23163 of 2018 (Umesh Kumar @ Umesh Mahto Vs. State of Bihar and other analogous cases) with respect to seizure of mahua flower under Excise Act, 2016 has held as follows :

“In result, we hold that the confiscation proceedings, if any, initiated against the petitioners for alleged violation of Section 3 of ‘the Mahua Flowers Rules’ read alongside the provisions of ‘the Act’ for possession of Mahua Flowers exceeding 5 kgs., is without sanction of law and consequentially the confiscation proceeding, if any, initiated against the petitioners shall stand quashed and the vehicles seized, if not already released, shall be released in favour of the owner on production of ownership papers.”

It has been stated that no confiscation proceeding has been initiated and even if any confiscation proceeding has been initiated, same is directed to be dropped.



Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a petition in the court of Special Judge, (Excise), Patna in Punpun P.S. Case No. 219 of 2019 for release of the vehicle as bar of jurisdiction in confiscation under section 60 of the Act is not applicable and Special Court (Excise) has jurisdiction to release the vehicle and upon such petition being filed the Special Court (Excise), Patna is directed to provisionally release the vehicle in favour of its registered owner on due identification and production of ownership documents on usual terms and conditions as imposed for release of vehicle within 30 days from the date of filing of such petition.

The writ petition is allowed.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/rajiv-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	NA

