

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1805 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-250 Year-2017 Thana- KURSAKANTA District- Araria

Dhruv Kumar Verma, aged aboutn 65 years, Male, son of Late Maksudan Lal Das, resident of village – Madhubani, Manjhali Chowk, P.S. + District – Purnia.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga Range, Darbhanga.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Purnea.
5. The I.O. of Kursa Kata P.S. Case No. 250 of 2017 under Araria District, Araria.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sheo Shankar Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2020 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State submits that in this case after completion of investigation charge-sheet has already been filed in the court below and the petitioner has been charge-sheeted in the case.

On perusal of the statements made in the writ application, it appears that the petitioner is looking for re-investigation of the case on the strength of letter as contained in Memo No. 218 dated 12.06.2019 issued under



the signature of Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Darbhanga Range, Darbhanga (Annexure – 3).

Learned counsel for the State has informed that
the Investigating Officer has not found any fresh material to
go for any further investigation in this case and no ground is
available in the writ application to take a view that the case
requires re-investigation.

This court has perused the materials available on
the record. It appears from order passed by this Court on
05.08.2019 in Cr. Misc. No. 22809/2019 (Annexure -2) that
while rejecting the prayer for bail of the petitioner this court
has observed that the trial of the case be expedited so as to
conclude positively within a period of one year. In the writ
application, there is no material to support the prayer of the
petitioner to direct re-investigation of the matter.

Thus, This Court finds no merit in the Writ
Application. It is dismissed, accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

