

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 70856 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-805 Year-2018 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

=====

Dinesh Kumar Son of Late Laxmi Ram R/o Mahalla- Sati Chaura, Gavtal
Banke Lal Lane, P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Indramani Devi W/o Late Yogendra Das Resident of Village/ Mohalla-
Chitrakut Nagar, Road No.11, P.O.- Digha, P.S.- Danapur, District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Binayak Kumar Shrivastav
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Dashrath Mehta
	Mr. Jitendra Narain Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 28-02-2020 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Earlier, the matter was referred to Patna High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre by order dated 16-11-2019 for resolution of dispute between the parties and in this regard, a report dated 20-02-2020, kept at flag 'N', has been received. On perusal of Mediator's report, it appears that the dispute between the parties has been resolved through the process of mediation on the terms and conditions mentioned in the Agreement (annexed with the Mediator's report).

Learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 has also appeared and does not dispute the fact.

Accordingly, considering the aforesaid facts and



circumstances as well as nature of accusation, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M.-I, Danapur (Patna) in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 805 of 2018 on the following conditions:

“(1) Petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present, as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail-bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J.)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

