

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.104 of 2019

=====

Ravi Bhushan Son of Shri Krishnanandan Sharma Road No.02 East Patel
Nagar Patna- 800023

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through the Secretary, Department of Personnel & Training, North Block, New Delhi- 110001.
2. The Director, CBI, Plot No.5-B,CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
3. The Special Director, CBI, Plot No.5-B,CGO Complex Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003
4. The Director of Enforcement, Lok Nayak Bhawan, 6th Floor, Khan Market,New Delhi-110003
5. The Chairman, Central Board of Direct Taxes, North Block,Raisina Hilla, New Delhi
6. The Chief Vigilance Commissioner, Central Vigilance Commission, Satarkata Bhawan, A Block, GPO,Complex, INA, New Delhi-110023.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Satyam Shivam Sundaram, Advocate
For the CBI	:	Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha, SC/CBI
For UOI	:	Mr. Rajesh Kumar Verma, Asst. S.G.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 07-01-2020 The petition filed on 02.01.2019 is listed for hearing

for the first time today before the Court.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“ a. Direct Respondent No. 2 to register a regular
case against person concerned for acquisition of



prime land in lieu of railway jobs by misusing his public office.

b. Monitor investigation of the cases registered under the direction of this Hon'ble Court in this writ petitioner.

c. Direct the Union of India to initiate departmental action against the Director CBI (Respondent No.20 for violation of provisions of the CBI Manual;

d. Direct the CVC (Respondent No. 5) to enquire into acts of commission and omission against the Director CBI (Respondent No. 2).”

After the matter was heard for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to the concerned respondents to consider and decide the representation, which the petitioner shall be making afresh within a period of four weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the State states that upon receipt of such request, if any, the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law, expeditiously and positively within a period of three months from the date of receipt thereof.

Equally, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to take



recourse to such alternative remedies as are otherwise available
in accordance with law.

We are hopeful that as and when petitioner takes
recourse to such remedies, as are otherwise available in law,
before the appropriate forum, the same shall be dealt with, in
accordance with law and with reasonable dispatch.

The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

