

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20023 of 2016

=====

Narendra Prasad Singh Son of Late Pavitra Singh, Resident of village /
Mohalla - Gorour, P.O. Gorour, P.S. Chhabilapur Rajgir , District - Nalanda
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Power and Energy Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Principal Secretary, Power and Energy Department, Govt. of Bihar
3. Chairman Cum Managing Director, Bihar Renewable Energy Development Agency, Sone Bhawan, Birchand Pa
4. Special Secretary, Energy Department Cum Director, Bihar Renewable Energy Development Agency, Sone
5. Director General Provident Fund, Bihar, Patna
6. District Provident Fund Officer, Bihar, Patna
7. Accountant General, Bihar, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pramod Kumar
For the BREDA	:	Mr. Jitendra Pd. Singh, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Subhash Pd. Singh- GA3
For the A.G.	:	Mr. L.P.K. Rajgirihar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 08-06-2020

Heard learned counsel for the parties through video conferencing.

In this case, the petitioner has basically claimed his retiral benefit and, ancillary, he has claimed his promotion and the ACP.

In paragraph nos.17, 18 and 19 of the counter affidavit, the BREDA has shown that the payment with respect to retiral dues has been paid to the petitioner which the counsel for the petitioner accepts and submits that there is no grievance with regard to retiral benefit but, he has confined his prayer that his case for promotion and ACP has not been decided till date and has drawn attention of



this Court towards paragraph no.20 of the same from where it appears that a letter contained in no. 1519 dated 9.9.2019 has been issued by the Director, BREDA to the Joint Secretary, Energy Department for taking a decision in this regard with consultation of the Finance Department but, till date, as has been informed, no decision has been taken.

In that view of the matter, this Court directs the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the respondent nos. 2 & 3 and it is expected from both the respondents that they will examine the case of the petitioner and take a decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of filing of the representation along with a copy of this order.

While passing the order, it must be must be speaking so that the petitioner will be able to understand the reason for passing the order.

With the aforementioned observation and direction, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	12.06.2020
Transmission Date	

