

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20635 of 2018

=====

Chandan Kumar S/o Mukund Prasad Singh, Resident of Moldiyar Tola, Ward
No. 13, Mokama, P.S. Mokama, District Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department,
Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, P.H.E. Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate, Patna.
6. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Barh, District- Patna.
7. The Anchal Adhikari, Mokama.
8. The Executive Engineer, PHED, Patna East, Patna.
9. The Mokama Nagar Parishad, Mokama through its Executive Officer.
10. The Chairman Mokama Nagar Parishad, Mokama.
11. The Executive Officer, Mokama Nagar Parishad Mokama, District Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Yogendra Prasad Sinha -Aag7
For Nagar Parishad	:	Mr. Arabind Nath Pandey, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 06-01-2020 The petition filed on 12.10.2018 is listed for hearing
for the first time today before the Court.

It is informed that the advocate on record on behalf of



the petitioner Shri Dharmendra Kumar has since expired.

Learned counsel for the respondents are present.

Petitioner has prayed for the following relief/s:

“That this is an application i(in the nature of Public Interest Litigation) for issuance of an appropriate writ / order / direction / directions for holding CBI / Vigilance Enquiry into large scale bungling / irregularities in the affairs of the Mokama Nagar Parishad (Municipal Council) in connivance with officials of the District Administration in implementation of various social welfare projects / schemes run by the Government of India / Bihar Government and further to command the Respondents to implement the schemes in a transparent manner as the funds are lying in Bank accounts and due to non-release of the same the projects are left mid-way.”

We find that the present petition so filed is in the nature of public interest litigation.

From the response so filed, it is evident that the respondents have now taken appropriate action.

As such, without adjudicating the petition on merit, we dispose of the same, reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the authorities for redressal of surviving grievances, if



any.

Registry is directed to communicate the order to the writ petitioner.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

